

PSA: The FDA has declared that only bivalent shots are authorized for use in US - April 18, 2023

authorized for use in the United States."

4/18/2023

494 167 5

Share ...


Head to the FDA website [here](#).

Today, the U.S. Food and Dr


authorizations (EUA's) of the Moderna and Pfizer-BioNTech COVID-19 bivalent mRNA vaccines to simplify the vaccination schedule for most individuals. This action includes authorizing the current bivalent vaccines (original and omicron BA.4/BA.5 strains) to be used for all doses administered to individuals 6 months of age and older, including for an additional dose or doses for certain populations. The monovalent Moderna and Pfizer-BioNTech COVID-19 vaccines are no longer authorized for use in the United States.

So listen. The bivalent shots ‘provide’, at best, an immune tickle against two extinct **SPIKE** variations. Furthermore, recently, bivalent vials have been


sequenced and found to be contaminated. Adverse event reports have been piling up in VAERS one week following original release-for-administration date, on September 2, 2022.



The bivalent shots were never authorized to be given as a first dose, especially not to new borns and babies.



Even Paul Offit calls these products a cautionary tale.



Peter Marks continues to **reinforce** that in addition to: 1. robust, life-long natural immunity achieved by being simply exposed to SARS-2, or 2. the 'effects' (I would not call it immunity - perhaps a form of autoimmunity/hyper-inflammation) of having been injected with the monovalent experimental COVID injectable products, that the bivalent shots are going to help people avoid dying from COVID.

Medical doctors being allowed to make their own decisions as opposed to taking orders from incentivized bureaucrats. Allowing people to get sun, exercise, eat well, drink clean water, hug each other. Allowing people to work a job that actually allows them enough food and shelter to enjoy life. Or releasing the reign of terror incessantly imposed on good people all throughout the world. It's really, really evil - and that is the appropriate word - to terrorize our elders. That's what would help people stop dying from COVID.

Give some God-given respect to our elders (and infirm) and promote focused

“Evidence is now available that most of the U.S. population 5 years of age and older has antibodies to SARS-CoV-2, the virus that causes COVID-19, either

from vaccination of infection that can serve as a foundation for the protection provided by the bivalent vaccines. COVID-19 continues to be a very real risk for many people, and we encourage individuals to consider staying current with vaccination, including with a bivalent COVID-19 vaccine. The available data continue to demonstrate that vaccines prevent the most serious outcomes of COVID-19, which are severe illness, hospitalization, and death.”

SYSTEM CELLS AND MEDIATORS), between natural immunity - against a complete set of proteins, and 'temporary' 'immunity' and/or tolerisation - against a single, terribly problematic mass-produced antigenic protein.


different processes that everyone is entitled to know prior to being injected. *He*

If you aren't up-to-date, the manufacturing processes (good manufacturing processes (GMPs)) have been called into question, and continue to be called into question. Marks actually states that the Bivalent shots are safe because they are made the same way as the monovalent shots. Really? Well then on that basis, they are susceptible to contamination, and random vials need to be checked. That's what that means.

The data accrued with the investigational Pfizer-BioNTech bivalent COVID-19 vaccine (original and omicron BA.1) and with the monovalent Pfizer-BioNTech COVID-19 Vaccine are relevant to the Pfizer-BioNTech COVID-19 Vaccine, Bivalent because these vaccines are manufactured using the same process.

Read this information carefully for yourself. Decide for yourself. Read how few people were actually involved in what Marks refers to as a safety study as the foundation for the authorization of these products.

Again, here's the most recent VAERS domestic data relevant to the bivalent shots. There are N = 25,118 reports submitted since release in early September, 2022. I do not include the URF here (with URF: 31 \rightarrow N = 778,658 adverse event occurrences). Granted, many of these reports are likely due to the damages done by previous monovalent shots, for example, in those who did not receive the bivalent shots as a primary shot, but nonetheless, the safety of these products requires re-examination by non-conflicted labs.



Subscribe to Unacceptable Jessica

By Jessica Rose · Over 51,000 subscribers

Jessica's Substack Input

[Upgrade to paid](#)

494 Likes · 5 Restacks

167 Comments

 Write a comment...

 **Margaret Anna Alice**  Writes Margaret Anna Alice Through the... Apr 18  Liked by Jessica Rose

Even the New York Times admits the bivalent “boosters seem to do little to prevent infections” and target variants no longer in circulation (<https://www.nytimes.com/2022/01/21/health/covid-boosters-cdc-omicron.html>), as I cite in this piece:

- “Letter to the Stanford Daily” (<https://margaretannaalice.substack.com/p/letter-to-the-stanford-daily>)

♡ LIKE (66) 💬 REPLY...

10 replies by Jessica Rose and others